

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

SECURITIES INVESTOR PROTECTION
CORPORATION,

Plaintiff,

v.

BERNARD L. MADOFF INVESTMENT
SECURITIES LLC,

Defendant.

In re:

BERNARD L. MADOFF,

Debtor.

Adv. Pro. No. 08-01789 (CGM)

SIPA Liquidation

(Substantively Consolidated)

**FINAL APPLICATION OF MUNARI GIUDICI MANIGLIO PANFILI E ASSOCIATI
AS SPECIAL COUNSEL TO THE TRUSTEE FOR RELEASE OF FEES HELD BACK**

Munari Giudici Maniglio Panfili E Associati (“MGMP”), special counsel for Irving H. Picard (the “Trustee”), Trustee for the liquidation of the business of Bernard L. Madoff Investment Securities LLC (“BLMIS” or “Debtor”) under the Securities Investor Protection Act of 1970, 15 U.S.C. §§ 78aaa *et seq.* (“SIPA”), and Bernard L. Madoff, submits this Application for release of previously held back legal fees (“Holdback”) in the amount of \$977.64 for prior compensation periods.

I. BACKGROUND

1. On December 15, 2008, Judge Stanton of the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York, upon application filed on the same date by the Securities Investor Protection Corporation (“SIPC”), entered an order calling for the liquidation of BLMIS in accordance with SIPA and appointing the Trustee as BLMIS’s trustee.

2. BLMIS's liquidation proceeding was removed to this Court pursuant to SIPA § 78eee(b)(4), and, pursuant to SIPA § 78fff(b), is being conducted as though it were a case under chapters 1, 3, and 5 and subchapters I and II of chapter 7 of title 11 of the United States Code.

3. Beginning on March 21, 2013, MGMP has served as special counsel for the Trustee.

4. On April 8, 2013, this Court entered an order approving the Trustee's motion for authority to retain MGMP as special counsel to the Trustee in matters pertaining to Italy *nunc pro tunc* to March 21, 2013.

5. The Trustee's motion to retain MGMP established a fee arrangement pursuant to which MGMP agreed to a fee reduction in the amount of 10% as well as an additional holdback of fees in the amount of 20%.

II. RELEASE OF THE HOLDBACK

6. The Trustee's motion to retain MGMP established a Holdback fee arrangement in which 20% of the approved compensation is deferred until further order of the Court.

7. Since MGMP will no longer act as special counsel for the Trustee following the determination of this application, MGMP seeks a release of the entire Holdback upon the consent and approval of SIPC.

8. SIPC has advised MGMP that it will file a recommendation in support of the fees and expenses in this Application and the release of the Holdback in the amount of \$977.64 for MGMP.

9. MGMP respectfully requests that this Court authorize the release of the Holdback payment to MGMP in the amount of \$977.64, as supported by SIPC.

III. GENERAL MATTERS

10. All of the professional services for which compensation is requested herein were performed by MGMP for and on behalf of the Trustee and not on behalf of any other person or entity.

11. No agreement or understanding exists between MGMP and any other person for sharing compensation received in connection with this case nor has any other person or entity agreed to provide MGMP with compensation for the legal services described herein.

12. Section 78eee(b)(5)(A) of SIPA provides in pertinent part that, upon appropriate application and after a hearing, “[t]he court shall grant reasonable compensation for services rendered and reimbursement for proper costs and expenses incurred . . . by a trustee, and by the attorney for such a trustee.” Section 78eee(b)(5)(C) of SIPA specifically establishes SIPC’s role in connection with applications for compensation and the consideration the Court should give to SIPC’s recommendation concerning fees. That section provides as follows:

In any case in which such allowances are to be paid by SIPC without reasonable expectation of recoupment thereof as provided in this chapter and there is no difference between the amounts requested and the amounts recommended by SIPC, the court shall award the amounts recommended by SIPC. In determining the amount of allowances in all other cases, the court shall give due consideration to the nature, extent, and value of the services rendered, and shall place considerable reliance on the recommendation of SIPC.

SIPA § 78eee(b)(5)(C).

13. To the extent the general estate is insufficient to pay such allowances as an expense of administration, SIPA § 78eee(b)(5)(E) requires SIPC to advance the funds necessary to pay the compensation of MGMP (*see* SIPA § 78fff-3(b)(2)).

14. The Trustee has determined, at this time, that he has no reasonable expectation that the general estate will be sufficient to make a distribution to general creditors or pay administrative expenses. SIPC has advised the Trustee that it concurs with this determination.

Accordingly, any fees and expenses allowed by this Court will be paid from advances by SIPC without any reasonable expectation by SIPC of recoupment thereof.

15. Therefore, with respect to this Application, MGMP requests that consistent with section 78eee(b)(5)(C) of SIPA, the Court “shall award the amounts recommended by SIPC.” *See In re Bell & Beckwith*, 112 B.R. 876 (Bankr. N.D. Ohio 1990). MGMP expects that SIPC’s recommendation shall be filed with this Court separately.

WHEREFORE, MGMP respectfully requests that this Court enter an Order:

- a. Granting this Application; and
- b. Releasing \$977.64 to MGMP from the Holdback for prior compensation periods;
and
- c. Granting MGMP such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: July 1, 2022

MGMP

By: s/ Paolo Giudici
Paolo Giudici
Largo San Giuseppe 3-23b
I-16121
Genova ,Italy
Telephone: +(39) 010565529

EXHIBIT A

SUMMARY OF INTERIM COMPENSATION FOR MGMP

	TOTAL COMPENSATION
Holdback for Prior Compensation Periods:	\$977.64
Release of the Holdback:	\$977.64
Total to be Paid:	\$977.64